Debate Speaker Points Rubric



ASDA Core Values of Conversational, Collaborative,	1 Unacceptable Well below expectations of	2 Fair Growth necessary to	3 Good Prepared, competent competitor	4 Excellent Top Half of Room. Polished,	5 Superior Exceeding other competitors in
Kind should be evident in all events	ASDA competitors	successfully compete with others in this room	compared to others in this room	well-spoken competitor compared to others in this room	this room in preparation, polish and presentation
Constructive Speech	Lack of preparation, attitude	Lack of understanding, little	Understanding of the issues,	Deep research, clear and	Comprehensive research,
Debater provides a compelling speech affirming or negating the resolution	of disregard for opponent and judge	convincing argumentation	adequately convincing argumentation	compelling argumentation	mature and persuasive argumentation
Cross-Examination	Aggressive time	Inability to comprehend the	Inquiries and responses that	Skill in asking and answering	Strong use of questions and
Debater asks questions to	manipulation or belittling of	issues or formulate clarifying	show knowledge of the	questions that enhance the	answers to strengthen
clarify the round	opponent	questions	issues	round	position and weaken opposing view
Rebuttal Speech	Misrepresentation of	Inability to understand the	Adequate understanding of	Refutation of earlier	Compelling ability to refute
Debater refutes earlier	evidence, introduction of	issues or deliver reasonable	the issues and some	arguments, clarification of	arguments, simplify the
argumentation and	new argumentation, or use	rebuttal speeches	refutation of earlier	misunderstandings,	round, and convincingly
strengthens position	of other unkind and unfair tactics		arguments	strengthening of position	clarify position
Evidence	Misuse of evidence through	Lack of advocacy, irrelevant	Appropriate amount of	Excellent use of relevant	Superior use of extensive
Debater uses evidence in the	ignorance or willful	examples, illogical	advocacy, examples or basic	advocacy, helpful examples,	advocacy, enriching
form of advocacy, examples	manipulation or	arguments, or incorrect	logic	and clear logical connections	examples, or advanced logic
or logic to support claims	misapplication of advocacy, examples, or logic	citations		that show depth of research	that shows comprehensive research
Organization	Weak and hard to follow	Some organization of	Organizational techniques	Organization that helps the	Organization that simplifies
Debater organizes speeches	organization	arguments around a thesis,	that add meaning to the	judge understand the	the round and flows logically
so that ideas flow logically		somewhat logical presentation	round	arguments and the flow from speech to speech	from the constructive speech to the final conclusion
Delivery	Poor delivery that is	Discomfort on stage,	Comfort on stage, polite	Stage presence that shows	Ability to build a relationship
Debater uses speech and	disrespectful, aggressive,	awkward speech or body	speech and body language	kindness and respect to the	with the judge and opponent
body language to uphold ASDA values	unkind or inappropriate	language		opponent and judge	